IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 80 /2005

Petitioners : 1. Smt. Lata Surana, W/o Surendra Surana, Aged about 27 years, R/o Civil Lines, Raipur.

2. Smt. Roma Adhlakha, W/o Anil Adhlakha, Aged about 36 years, R/o Katora Talab, Raipur.

3. Manish Kumar, S/o Hari Prasad, Aged 35 years, R/o Civil Lines, Raipur.

4. Smt. Chetna Surana, W/o Anand Surana, Aged about 40 years, Civil Lines, Raipur.

5. Anil Adhlakha, S/o Radheshyam Adhlakha, Aged about 40 years, R/o Katora Talab, Raipur.

6. Rajeev Sachdev, Late M.L.Sachdev, Aged about 45 years, R/o Civil Lines, Raipur.

7. Hemlata Surana, W/o Rajendra Surana, Aged about 34 years, R/o Civil Lines, Raipur.

8. Harbhajan Kaur, W/o Harbhajan Singh Kaur, Aged about 40 years, R/o Govind Nagar, Raipur.

9. Smt. Anita Sindhwani, W/o Ajay Sindhwani, Aged about 35 years, R/o Jawahar Nagar, Raipur.

10. Rakesh Saraogi, S/o Satyanarayan Saraogi, Aged about 40 years, R/o Civil Lines, Raipur.

11. Ajay Sindhwani, S/o Ramji Sindhwani, Aged about 55 years, R/o Jawahar Nagar, Raipur.

Versus

(4)

Respondents Contemptnor	:	1.	S.P.Dandekar, Chief Executive Officer, Raipur Development Authority, Behind Bajrang Mandir, Raipur.
		2.	S.P.Malviya,Joint Director, Town and Country Planning and Director of Raipur Development Authority, Raipur Development Authority Complex, Sharda Chowk, Raipur (CG)
		3.	P.Joy Ommen, Principle Secretary, Aawas Evan Paryawaran, DKS Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 225 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 10 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, CJ.

Contempt Petition No.80 of 2005

PETITIONERS Smt. Lata Surana and others.

Versus

RESPONDENTS S.P. Dandekar and others.

Present : Shri Adil Minhaj, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Pankaj Agrawal, counsel for the resp.No.1.
Shri B.D. Guru, counsel for the respondent No.3.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 02nd March, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners seeks leave of this Court to withdraw the contempt petition.

(2) Permission sought for is granted. Accordingly, contempt petition is disposed of as withdrawn. Ordered accordingly.

(3) Consequently, I.A. 2459/2006 stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Chief Justice